

Application No. 10/665171 (Docket: CNTR.2213)  
37 CFR 1.111 Amendment dated 04/07/2007  
Reply to Office Action of 01/11/2007

### **REMARKS/ARGUMENTS**

In the Office Action, the Examiner noted that claims 1-9, 15-16, 32-40, and 46 are pending in the application. The Examiner additionally stated that claims 1, 3, 4, 9, and 32 are rejected and that claims 2, 5-8, 15, 16, 33-40, and 46 are objected to. By this amendment, claims 2 and 33 are cancelled and claims 1, 32, 34, and 46 are amended. Hence, claims 1, 3-9, 15-16, 32, 34-40, and 46 are pending in the application.

Applicant hereby requests further examination and reconsideration of the application, in view of the foregoing amendments.

#### **In the Specification**

Applicant has amended the specification to secure a substantial correspondence between the claims amended herein and the remainder of the specification. No new matter is presented.

#### **In the Claims**

##### **Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. §112**

The Examiner rejected claim 46 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for facility to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which Applicant regards as the invention, noting that claim 46 recites the limitation "the second one of the plurality of part-page ownership bits" in lines 2-3, and that there is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

In response, Applicant has amended claim 46 to depend rather from claim 35, thus providing the antecedent basis. Accordingly, Applicant requests that the rejection of claim 46 be withdrawn.

##### **Allowable Subject Matter**

The Examiner objected to claims 2, 5, 33, and 36 as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but indicated that these claims would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. The Examiner also noted that claims 6-8, 15-16, 34-35, 37-40, and 46 would be allowable as the further limit the objected claims 5, 33, and 36.